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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PILOT PROJECT 1 – “Uptake of Ships Energy Efficiency Technologies and Operations”

The MTCC Latin America Pilot Project 1 “Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”, aims at utilizing the existing IMO regulation on ship energy efficient technologies and operations as a starting point, for examining the barriers and constraints faced by regional ship owners and operators when implementing or planning to implement such provisions and moving towards low carbon shipping.

The project’s general objective is strengthening the uptake of ship energy efficient technologies and operations by better understanding the barriers and constraints experienced by relevant stakeholders in the Latin American region, by making recommendations to policy-makers and competent administrations on actions to overcome these issues, and by disseminating the outcomes of this pilot project.

The methodology followed for the development of this pilot project, was carefully designed and planned, to cover all stakeholders views and considerations as well as all aspects of the research subject, and comprises:

1. Literature review on the nature and status of barriers and constraints;
2. Identification of the key stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations and categorization based on their actual role;
3. Selection of six (6) Latin American countries to form part of this study, taking into account their total fleet and availability of vessels of 400GT and over that need to apply ship energy efficient provisions, and taking into account various aspects (signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI, PSC MOU participation), in order to ensure representative results and reliable conclusions;
4. Development of comprehensive questionnaires addressing each category of stakeholders separately, for gathering of data and information on the research subject;
5. Application and completion of questionnaires by the key stakeholders for collection of data;
6. Analysis of qualitative and quantitative data collected during this pilot project;
7. Report on the findings of the project together with description of methodologies used;
8. Preparation of dissemination material and dissemination activities of project results (throughout the project’s implementation to engage stakeholders as well as after its completion).
1. Introduction

The adverse effects of man-made climate change are now more apparent than ever before in our everyday lives. This has caused tackling climate change to become a global priority.

The shipping industry has not been left behind. The relevant policy-making IMO’s Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC), started on 2011 with amendments by means of technical performance standards to enhance ship’s energy efficiency (EEDI and SEEMP), leading to the reduction of emissions of substances originated from fuel oil and its combustion process.¹

Afterwards, in 2013, MEPC 65 adopted resolution MEPC.229 (65) on Promotion of Technical Co-operation and transfer of technology relating to the improvement of energy efficiency of ships leading to the strengthening of partnerships with other interested parties.

Later on January 2016, the European Union (EU) and the International Maritime Organization (IMO) reached an agreement to establish a Global Maritime Technology Cooperation Centres Network (GMN) aiming to help reduce greenhouse gas emissions by, among others, encouraging the uptake of innovative energy efficient technologies and practices.

On October 2016, MEPC 70 adopted mandatory MARPOL Annex VI requirements for ships to record and report their fuel oil consumption, by resolution MEPC.278 (70). MEPC 70 also adopted the 2016 Guidelines for the development of a Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP), by resolution MEPC.282 (70). The Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP) is an operational measure that establishes a mechanism to improve the energy efficiency of a ship in a cost-effective manner whilst also providing an approach for shipping companies to manage ship and fleet efficiency performance over time using, for example, the Energy Efficiency Operational Indicator (EEOI) as a monitoring tool.

In April 2018, IMO’s Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) adopted an initial strategy on the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from ships, setting out a vision to reduce GHG emissions from international shipping and phase them out, as soon as possible in this century. The vision confirms IMO’s commitment to reducing GHG emissions from international shipping and, as a matter of urgency, to phasing them out as soon as possible.

Some of the methods available for energy improvements include fuel-efficient operations (improved voyage planning, just in time or speed optimization), optimized ship handling (shaft power, trim, ballast, and propeller design or

¹ IMO Resolution MEPC.203 (62), Adopted don 15 July 2011
propeller inflow), hull maintenance, improved propulsion systems, improved fleet management, improved cargo handling or energy management.

Although, these technologies and practices are available in the Latin American region, their effective adoption would be greatly enhanced by an in-depth understanding of the barriers and constraints faced by relevant stakeholders.
2. Description of the MTCC Latin America Pilot Project 1

(a) Pilot Project 1 aim
The MTCC Latin America Pilot Project 1 “Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”, aims at utilizing the existing IMO regulation on ship energy efficient technologies and operations as a starting point, for examining the barriers and constraints faced by regional ship owners and operators when implementing or planning to implement such provisions and moving towards low carbon shipping.

(b) General objective
The general objective of Pilot Project 1 is to strengthen the uptake of ship energy efficient technologies and operations by better understanding the barriers and constraints experienced by relevant stakeholders in the Latin American region, by making recommendations to policy-makers and competent administrations on actions to overcome these issues, and by disseminating the outcomes of this pilot project.

(c) Target audience
This Pilot Project 1 has been developed taking into consideration the fundamental role of all the Stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations.

The target audience for this project are all stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations:

- Maritime Administrations
- Government Institutions
- Shipyards
- Maritime Training Centers/Institutes
- Ship Owners
- Ship Operators
- Ship Designers
- Marine Diesel Engine and Equipment Manufacturers
- Classification Societies / Recognized Organizations (ROs)
- Marine Fuel Suppliers
- Port Authorities / Operators
- National Policymakers
- Other interested groups and maritime professionals
This report brings the information obtained to the attention of almost 300 participants and the responsible authorities of 17 Latin American countries that have been engaged and trained through direct interaction with MTCC Latin America as well as through the regional and national workshops organized by MTCC Latin America, across the participant countries.

The Pilot Project 1 has delivered 5 capacity-building workshops across the participant countries, providing training on IMO's energy efficiency regulations, energy efficient ship operation, port state control and enforcement, as well as how to implement measures to improve efficiency on shipping, Ship Energy Efficiency Management (SEEMP Part I & Part II) and the fuel data collection methodology in accordance with MARPOL Annex VI regulations.

(d) Stakeholders participating in this project:

Six (6) Latin American countries were selected to form part of this study:

(1) Panama
(2) Peru
(3) Mexico
(4) Honduras
(5) Ecuador
(6) Colombia

The participating countries were selected based on their total fleet and availability of vessels of 400GT and over that need to apply ship energy efficient provisions, and taking into account various aspects (signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI, PSC MOU participation), in order to ensure representative results and reliable conclusions.

The study divided the countries in two (2) groups, three (3), which already ratified MARPOL Annex IV, and three (3), which are under that process.

Panama
- Panama Maritime Authority (PMA)
- Ministry of Environment
- National Secretariat of Energy
- Carnival Cruise Lines
- Transgas Shipping Lines SAC
- International Maritime University of Panama (UMIP)
- Lloyds Register of Shipping (LR)
Honduras

- Dirección General de Marina Mercante (Merchant Marine General Directorate)
- CESCO del Ministerio de Ambiente (Ministry of Environment)
- Empresa Nacional Portuaria
- Municipalidad de Puerto Cortés
- Roatan Shipyards
- Port of Roatan
- Roatan Cruise Terminal
- Operadora Portuaria Centroamericana (OPC)
- Bay Island Petroleum
- Refinería Texaco de Honduras
- Agencia Naviera de Europa
- Agencia NAVYSA
- Marítima & Transporte Honduras (MATRA)
- INBS
- CHEVRON

Peru

- Dirección General de Capitanías y Guardacostas (DICAPI)
- Astilleros Servicios Industriales de la Marina (SIMA)
- Asociación de Armadores del Perú
- Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores (Ministry of Foreign Affairs)
- Ministerio de Transporte y Comunicación
- Ministerio de Energía y Minas (MINEM)
- Escuela Nacional de Marina Mercante (ENAMM)
- Ministerio de Ambiente (MINAM)
- Universidad Tecnológica de Perú (UTP)
- Transgas Shipping Lines SAC
- Naviera Transoceánica S.A.

Colombia

- Dirección General Marítima (DIMAR)
- COTECMAR Shipyards
- Superintendencia de Puertos y Transporte
- Ministerio de Minas y Energía
- Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible
- Avante Escuela de Marina Mercante
- Ecopetrol
- Universidad Tecnológica de Bolivar
Ecuador
- Dirección General de los Espacios Acuáticos (DIRNEA)
- National Port Authority of the Ministry of Transportation
- Ecuador Naval Shipyards (ASTINAVE)
- Ministry of Defense (MIDENA)
- Galapagos National Park Authority
- Autoridad Portuaria de Guayaquil (Port Authority of Guayaquil)
- Association of Private Port Operators of Ecuador (ASOTEP)
- National Tanker Fleet Association of Ecuador (FLOPEC)
- Chamber of Shipping of Ecuador (CAMAE)
- Hydrocarbons Regulatory Agency (ARCH)
- University of the Pacific
- Ministry of Environment (Division of Marine and Coastal Management) PETROECUADOR
- Naviera Marnizam
- Escuela de la Marina Mercante (ESMENA)
- Universidad del Pacífico
- ECUAESTIBAS
- OCEANBAT

Mexico
- Unidad de Capitanías de Puerto y Asuntos Marítimos (UNICAPAM)
- Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores
- Secretaría de Comunicaciones y Transporte (SCT)
- Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (SEMARNAT)
- Secretaría de Energía (SENER-CONUEE)
- DIGECONSNAV-SEMAR
- Petróleos Mexicanos (PEMEX)
- Cámara Mexicana de la Industria del Transporte Marítimo(CAMEINTRAM)

Further to the above, the study aimed at engaging also separately all other stakeholders from the participating countries, with an aim of receiving detailed information, views and considerations, thus ensuring accurate and complete insights.
To this regard, identification of all stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations, and categorization based on their actual role took place, as follows:

1. Maritime Administrations
2. Government Institutions
3. Ship Owners / Ship Operators
4. Port Authorities / Operators
5. Maritime Training Centers/Institutes
6. Marine Fuel Suppliers
7. Shipyards
8. Classification Societies / Recognized Organizations
9. National Policymakers
3. Methodology

The development of the pilot project comprises the experience gained and a literature review performed by the staff and consultants of this MTCC Centre. Particularly based on the nature and status of barriers and constraints to implement provisions of IMO Ship Energy Efficiency Regulations faced by the group of six (6) Latin American countries part of this study for implementing provisions of IMO Ship Energy Efficiency Regulations.

The pilot project had different stages of implementation, starting with a literature review on the scope of regional shipping and the nature and status of barriers and constraints in the participating countries.

The pilot project utilized relevant techniques to identify status, barriers and constraints in particular the use of survey questionnaires for collecting relevant data. The use of new and/or existing guidance such as the GloMEEP Strategy Development Guide and the Ship Emissions Toolkit (3 Guides) and Port emissions Toolkit (2 Guides) developed by GLOMEEP, were utilized where applicable.

Furthermore, to the review described above, the Centre proceeded to apply and analyze comprehensive questionnaires to stakeholders described in the target audience section for data gathering and information to uptake energy efficient technologies and operations.

It is relevant to mention that the information obtained from the questionnaires to stakeholders were used to complement the data analysis of the qualitative and quantitative information collected during this pilot project for generating the final report on the findings.

The methodology followed for the development of this pilot project, was carefully designed and planned, to cover all stakeholders views and considerations as well as all aspects of the research subject, and it is summarized as follows:

- Literature review on the nature and status of barriers and constraints;
- Identification of the key stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations and categorization based on their actual role;
- Selection of six (6) Latin American countries to form part of this study, taking into account their total fleet and availability of vessels of 400GT and over.
that need to apply ship energy efficient provisions, and taking into account various aspects (signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI, PSC MOU participation), in order to ensure representative results and reliable conclusions;

- Development of comprehensive questionnaires addressing each category of stakeholders separately, for gathering of data and information on the research subject;
- Application and completion of questionnaires by the key stakeholders for collection of data;
- Analysis of qualitative and quantitative data collected during this pilot project;
- Report on the findings of the project together with description of methodologies used;
- Preparation of dissemination material and dissemination activities of project results (throughout the project’s implementation to engage stakeholders as well as after its completion).

(a) Detailed breakdown of Pilot Project 1 activities:

(1) The development of the pilot project followed the experience gained and a literature review (refer to Appendix 1 of this study) performed by the staff and consultants of this MTCC Centre. Particularly based on the nature and status of barriers and constraints to implement provisions of IMO Ship Energy Efficiency Regulations faced by the Latin American countries for implementing provisions of IMO Ship Energy Efficiency Regulations.

(2) As a first step, key stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations were identified:
- Maritime Administrations
- Government Institutions
- Shipyards
- Maritime Training Centers/Institutes
- Ship Owners
- Ship Operators
- Ship designers
- Marine Diesel Engine and Equipment Manufacturers
- Classification Societies / Recognized Organizations (ROs)
- Marine Fuel Suppliers
- Port Authorities / Operators
- National Policymakers
• Other interested groups and maritime professionals

The next step was to categorize the key stakeholders acting actively in the implementation of strategies for the uptake of ships energy efficiency technologies and operations based on their actual role. The following nine (9) categories were created:

1. Maritime Administrations
2. Government Institutions
3. Ship Owners / Ship Operators
4. Port Authorities / Operators
5. Maritime Training Centers/ Institutes
6. Marine Fuel Suppliers
7. Shipyards
8. Classification Societies / Recognized Organizations
9. National Policymakers

(3) The six (6) participating countries (Panama, Peru, Mexico, Honduras, Ecuador, Colombia) were selected based on their total fleet and availability of vessels of 400GT and over that need to apply ship energy efficient provisions, and taking into account various aspects (signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI, PSC MOU participation), in order to ensure representative results and reliable conclusions.

(4) A set of questionnaires was created, each addressing a specific category of key stakeholders, with an aim of receiving detailed information, views and considerations by each category of stakeholders separately, thus ensuring accurate and complete insights.

In particular, the questionnaires were devised in a way to provide detailed insights on organization details, level of familiarization with adopted/implemented policies and regulations on both national & international level, national & international policies & regulations affecting the organization, organization’s main activities and details on ships served/fleet, whether a policy on Energy Efficiency implementation in place, measures on Energy Efficiency for avoiding or reducing atmospheric pollution, preparations and considerations adopted to meet requirements, processes, and finally views and considerations on:

- Barriers / Challenges / Constraints
- Opportunities
- Recommendations
- Lessons learned

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity Building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
(5) Through the questionnaires, an investigation was carried out to the six (6) countries, in order to establish:

-Classification/Description of actors (Key Industry Players), involved in:
   The public sphere, the private sector, country policies on climate change and energy efficiency, legal framework of Maritime Administrations and any entity with competence and jurisdiction in the subject matter, climate change provisions, environmental provisions, provisions on emission reduction in the maritime transport sector, provisions on energy efficiency in the maritime field.
   Every possible effort was made, to engage stakeholders from all categories in each country.

(6) At this stage, a literary review of the six (6) countries selected was conducted to tackle the regulatory framework of Annex VI of MARPOL 73/78. The countries were divided in two (2) groups of three (3) countries each one, one group for signatories to MARPOL Annex VI and the other non-signatories to MARPOL Annex VI. The groups are shown as follows:

Signatory States to MARPOL Annex VI
(1) Panama
(2) Honduras
(3) Peru

Non-signatory States to MARPOL Annex VI
(4) Colombia
(5) Ecuador
(6) Mexico

(7) Then, the filled in questionnaires, were collected under a Participating Country Master File, forming the insights on all stakeholders’ views and considerations for that participating country.

The data was extracted and analyzed both under a:
   (i) Quantitative Analysis for establishing level of engagement and participation, and
   (ii) Qualitative Analysis, per participating country and stakeholders’ category, with an aim of receiving detailed information, views and considerations, thus ensuring accurate and complete insights.
(8) The detailed report on the methodology and results of Pilot Project 1 was drafted, communicating available draft results for increased dissemination effect in national workshops and direct interactions with stakeholders, to maximize interest and engagement.

(9) Dissemination material was drafted and dissemination activities of project results were ongoing, throughout the project’s implementation period, to maximize interest and engagement of stakeholders.

This was achieved through continuous development and updating of the training material (Appendix 3 of this study) and other dissemination materials (Appendix 4 of this study), to reflect the outcomes, experience gained and lessons learned through the project implementation up to the specific point, and their effective dissemination through the project’s dedicated website, social media as well as through interpersonal interactions and capacity building activities.

Full details and evidence on dissemination activities and the final training material, are included in Appendix 4 – Other dissemination material and Appendix 3 – Training Material, respectively.

Dissemination activities (including dissemination of the final project report) will continue to be carried out after the project’s completion as well, mainly through use of the MTCC Latin America’s website and social media channels, to maximize the project’s impact.
4. Sources of data

The sources of data for analysis are:

(1) the detailed responses in the duly filled in questionnaires, as obtained by the six (6) selected Latin American countries which form part of this study, as well as,

(2) the detailed responses in the duly filled in questionnaires of the other stakeholders active in each selected participating country, including:

1. Maritime Administrations
2. Government Institutions
3. Ship Owners / Ship Operators
4. Port Authorities / Operators
5. Maritime Training Centers/Institutes
6. Marine Fuel Suppliers
7. Shipyards
8. Recognized Organizations
9. National Policymakers

(3) The detailed responses on energy efficient measures implemented onboard the vessels of companies from the participating countries.
### Table 1-Selection of the six (6) participating countries in MTCC Latin America Pilot Project 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Signatory to MARPOL Annex VI</th>
<th>Total fleet (incl. ships under MARPOL Annex VI) *as per UNCTAD 2019 data</th>
<th>IMO Member State</th>
<th>IMO Council Member</th>
<th>PSC MOUs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – Category B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – Category C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar, Tokyo MOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>115 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>137 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>527 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>637 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – Category C</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7860 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – Category A</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar, Tokyo MOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>98 ships</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – Category C</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acuerdo de Viña del Mar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Provision was taken to include countries that have significant fleet including ships to which MARPOL Annex VI regulations apply.
(b) Provision was taken to include countries that are both signatory or non-signatory to the MARPOL Annex VI:
   - MARPOL Annex VI signatory states (3): Panama, Peru, Honduras
   - MARPOL Annex VI non-signatory states (3): Mexico, Ecuador, Colombia
(c) **Details on participating Countries: members and non-members of the IMO Council, and their Categories of membership:**
   - IMO Council Member – Category A (1): Panama
   - IMO Council Member – Category C (2): Mexico, Peru
   - Non IMO Council Members (3): Ecuador, Honduras, Colombia
(d) Provision was taken to include countries represented in both PSC MOUs to which Latin America Countries participate:
   - Acuerdo Vina del Mar (6): Panama, Peru, Honduras, Mexico, Ecuador, Colombia
   - Tokyo MOU (1): Panama

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity Building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
5. Analysis of data

Regarding the filled in questionnaires, the documents were collected under a Participating Country Master File, forming the insights on all stakeholders views and considerations for that participating country. The process of data collection with the use of these questionnaires started 2018 mid-year.

The data were extracted and analyzed both under a:

(i) Quantitative Analysis for establishing level of engagement and participation, and

(ii) Qualitative Analysis, per participating country and stakeholders category, with an aim of receiving detailed information, views and considerations, thus ensuring accurate and complete insights.

The data analysis results are reflected in the following tables.

(i) Quantitative Analysis
Quantitative analysis emphasized on the statistical analysis of data collected through the questionnaires, for establishing level of engagement and participation.
The pilot project 1 participation goals were exceeded, as 43 questionnaires were filled in, with the participation of a total of 64 participating persons, from 43 organizations, representing all categories of key stakeholders, as seen on tables 2 & 3. Moreover, regarding the energy efficient measures implemented onboard ships; relevant responses were received by a total of 82 ships over 400GT.

(ii) Qualitative Analysis
Qualitative analysis took place for the analysis of text data from the filled in questionnaires and the ships responses for the energy efficient measures implemented onboard.
Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis Results are reflected at:

- Table 2 - Literature Review: Preparation of Countries details
- Table 3- Stakeholders selected for participation that filled in questionnaires (per country)
- Table 4- Summary of filled-in questionnaires, in line with the requirements of Pilot Project 1
- Table 5-Detailed description on filled-in questionnaires, in line with the requirements of Pilot Project 1 (participants)
- Table 6- Summary of data, on participating country level
- Table 7- Detailed description of filled in questionnaires, per participating country and stakeholders category
- Table 8- Summary of the questionnaires responses (per country)
- Table 9-Summary on Energy Efficient Measures implemented onboard participating ships
**Table 2-Literature Review Reports for Participating Countries: Countries details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Participating Country Report</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Prepared</td>
<td>Refer to Appendix 1, to review full details for participating country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-Stakeholders selected for participation that filled in questionnaires (per country)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COTECMAR</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOPETROL</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF MINES &amp; ENERGY</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT &amp; SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DE BOLIVAR</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIMAR</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPERINTENDENCE OF PORTS</td>
<td>Port Authorities / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVANTE-ESCUELA DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecuador</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECCION NACIONAL DE LOS ESPACIOS ACUATICOS (DIRNEA)</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTILLEROS NAVALES ECUATORIANOS - ASTINAVE EP</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD DEL PACIFICO</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSECRETARIA DE PUERTOS Y TRANSPORTE MARITIMO Y FLUVIAL</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Honduras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHEVRON</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPRESA NACIONAL PORTUARIA</td>
<td>National Policymakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECCION GENERAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORT OF ROATAN</td>
<td>Port Authorities / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAY ISLAND PERTOLEUM</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCIA NAVIERA EUROPEA SA</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mexico

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIGECONSNV</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICAPAM</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONUCEE</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMARA MEXICANA DE LA INDUSTRIAL DEL TRANSPORTE MARITIMO</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Panama

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEGUMAR</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMIP</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARNIVAL CRUISE LINES</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARIA DE ENERGIA</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSGAS</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOYDS REGISTER</td>
<td>Recognized Organizations (ROs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Peru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DICAPI</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAVITRANSO</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSGAS</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN. DE TRANSPORTE Y COMUNICACIONES / AUTORIDAD PORTUARIA NACIONAL</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASOCIACION NACIONAL DE ARMADORES</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE ENERGIA Y MINAS (MINEM)</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD MARITIMA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE (MINAM)</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMAPERU</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCUELA NACIONAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPSOL</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.*
Table 4-Summary of filled in questionnaires, in line with the requirements of Pilot Project 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Questionnaire</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Ecuador</th>
<th>Honduras</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Panama</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Shipyard&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Government Institutions&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Maritime Administrations&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Marine Fuel Suppliers&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;National Policies&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Ports&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Recognized Organizations&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Ship Owners&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Training Centers&quot; Interview Form</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Person(s) participating in the interview</td>
<td>Date of Interview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>COPETVAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Shipyards/Dry-docks</td>
<td>(1) Monica Ruiz, (2) Adolfo Silva</td>
<td>23/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ECO PETROL</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
<td>(1) Luiz Aristizabal</td>
<td>04/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MINISTRY OF MINES &amp; ENERGY</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Sandra Salamanca</td>
<td>09/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT &amp; SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Giovanni Pavon, Ximena Samaniego</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DE BOLIVAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institute</td>
<td>(1) Dr. Julio Cabrera</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DIMAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(1) Leonardo Rocha, (2) Jose Soto</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE PORTS</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Port Authorities/Operators</td>
<td>(1) Luis Eduardo Chavez</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AVANTE ESCUELA DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institute</td>
<td>(1) Capt. Jose Andres Nieto</td>
<td>05/12/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DIGECOSNAV</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Shipyards/Dry-docks</td>
<td>(2) Capt. Nav. Jose Lopez, Cap. Corp. Angel Trinidad Gonzalez</td>
<td>21/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>UNICAPAM</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(1) Miguel Martinez</td>
<td>31/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CONLIEE</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Francisco Garcia</td>
<td>24/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CAMARA MEXICANA DE LA INDUSTRIAL DEL TRANSPORTE MARITIMO</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Ship Owners/Operators</td>
<td>(2) Luis Manuel Ojeda, (3) Armando Rodriguez</td>
<td>28/01/2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CHEVRON</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
<td>(1) Saady Dubon</td>
<td>05/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>EMPRESA NACIONAL PORTUARIA</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>National Policymakers</td>
<td>(1) Domingo Menjivar</td>
<td>07/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DIRECCION GENERAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(1) Marco Avellar</td>
<td>06/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>PORT OF ROATAN</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Port Authorities/Operators</td>
<td>(1) Rester Boddie</td>
<td>06/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>BAY ISLAND PETROLEUM</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
<td>(1) Kenneth Dixon</td>
<td>06/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AGENCIA NAVIERA EUROPEA SA</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Ship Owners/Operators</td>
<td>(1) Pamela Gallardo</td>
<td>08/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>DICIPI</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(8) Ing. Anthony Lopez, Fidel Reyes, Fred Espinoza, Humberto Torres, Yessica Perez, Karen Nuñez Lozada, Iuzangela Sanchez, Senndi Lozado Vilchucuaman</td>
<td>17/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>NAVITRANSO</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Ship Owners/Operators</td>
<td>(1) Jorge Baudouin, Luis Murghia</td>
<td>18/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>TRANSGAS</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Ship Owners/Operators</td>
<td>(1) Elmer Acuna, Gustavo Medina</td>
<td>18/08/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>MIN. DE TRANSPORTE Y COMUNICACIONES / AUTORIDAD PORTUARIA NACIONAL</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Mauro Daniel Pelayo</td>
<td>19/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>ASOCIACION NACIONAL DE ARMADORES</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Alberto Mendiola</td>
<td>19/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>MINISTERIO DE ENERGIA Y MINAS (MINEM)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Felix Bernabe Badillo</td>
<td>20/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MINISTERIO DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Jesus Ponce Bravo</td>
<td>20/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institute</td>
<td>(1) Frank Pretelt</td>
<td>21/09/2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Person(s) participating in the interview</th>
<th>Date of Interview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD MARITIMA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institutes</td>
<td>(1) Teofilo Jagura Gomez</td>
<td>21/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE (MINAM)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(3) Luis Antonio, Ibenez Guerrero, Luis Bravo</td>
<td>21/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>SIMAPERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
<td>(2) Eduardo Jarrin, Irma Janet Zegarra Tello</td>
<td>24/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>ESCUELA NACIONAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institutes</td>
<td>(1) Carlos Manuel Borja Garcia</td>
<td>24/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>REPSOL</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
<td>(1) Ing. Arscedio Carbojal</td>
<td>24/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>SEGUMAR</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(1) Rina Berrocal</td>
<td>30/06/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD MARITIMA INTERNACIONAL DE PANAMA (UMIP)</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institutes</td>
<td>(1) Alexis Rodriguez</td>
<td>22/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>CARNIVAL CRUISE LINES</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
<td>(2) Ross Kanzilette, Chimney Desoy</td>
<td>13/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>SECRETARIA DE ENERGIA</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(2) Shannika Johnson, David E. Munoz</td>
<td>04/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Veronica Gonzalez</td>
<td>04/10/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>TRANSGAS</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
<td>(1) Rafael Ninalaya</td>
<td>18/09/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>LLOYDS REGISTER</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Recognized Organizations (ROs)</td>
<td>(1) Edilberto Peralta</td>
<td>20/09/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>DIRECCION NACIONAL DE LOS ESPACIOS ACUATICOS (DIRNEA)</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
<td>(1) Vicente Alvarez</td>
<td>06/05/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>ASTILLEROS NAVALES ECUATORIANOS - ASTINAVE EP</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
<td>(1) CPNV-SP Camilo Delgado Montenegro</td>
<td>08/05/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD DEL PACIFICO</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centers/Institutes</td>
<td>(3) Julian Reyna, Ruben Mazon, Mario Palacios</td>
<td>13/05/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Michael Castaneda</td>
<td>09/05/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>SUBSECRETARIA DE PUERTOS Y TRANSPORTE MARITIMO Y FLUVIAL</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
<td>(1) Ing. Eduardo Aguirre Zapata</td>
<td>07/05/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 43 questionnaires | 6 countries | covering all stakeholders categories | 64 participating persons |

*This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.*
Table 6-Detailed description of filled in questionnaires, per participating country and stakeholders category

1. Maritime Administrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIMAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICAPAM</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECCION GENERAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DICAPI</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEGUMAR</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECCION NACIONAL DE LOS ESPACIOS ACUATICOS (DIRNEA)</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Maritime Administrations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Government Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF MINES &amp; ENERGY</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT &amp; SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONUEE</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN. DE TRANSPORTE Y COMUNICACIONES / AUTORIDAD PORTUARIA NACIONAL</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE ENERGIA Y MINAS (MINEM)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE RELACIONES EXTERIORES</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE (MINAM)</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARIA DE ENERGIA</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTERIO DE AMBIENTE</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSECRETARIA DE PUERTOS Y TRANSPORTE MARITIMO Y FLUVIAL</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Government Institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3. Ship Owners / Operators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAMARA MEXICANA DE LA INDUSTRIAL DEL TRANSPORTE MARITIMO</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENCIA NAVIERA EUROPEA SA</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAVITRANSO</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSAGS</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASOCIACION NACIONAL DE ARMADORES</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARNIVAL CRUISE LINES</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSAGS</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Ship Owners / Operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Port Authorities / Operators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUPERINTENDENCE OF PORTS</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Port Authorities / Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PORT OF ROATAN</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Port Authorities / Operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. Maritime Training Centers/Institutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DE BOLIVAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVANTE-ESCUELA DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD TECNOLOGICA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD MARITIMA DEL PERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCUELA NACIONAL DE MARINA MERCANTE</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMIP</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIVERSIDAD DEL PACIFICO</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Maritime Training Centres/Institutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 6. Marine Fuel Suppliers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECOPETROL</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEVRON</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAY ISLAND PERTOLEUM</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPSON</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Marine Fuel Suppliers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. Shipyards / Dry Docks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COTECMAR</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIGECONSNAV</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMAPERU</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTILLEROS NAVALES ECUATORIANOS - ASTINAVE EP</td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Shipyards / Dry-docks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8. Recognized Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLOYDS REGISTER</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Recognized Organizations (ROs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9. National Policymakers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMPRESA NACIONAL PORTUARIA</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>National Policymakers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 7-Summary of the questionnaires responses (per country)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Regulatory Framework</th>
<th>Barriers/Constraints</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI&lt;br&gt;-Absence of National Regulatory Framework&lt;br&gt;-Many different players are involved in the establishment and subsequent implementation of a national policy on energy efficient measures</td>
<td>1.Capacity Building&lt;br&gt;2.Lack of technology experience&lt;br&gt;3.Lack of central leadership&lt;br&gt;4.Government Bureaucracy&lt;br&gt;Others:&lt;br&gt;-No cost effective solutions for energy efficient measures exist&lt;br&gt;-No incentives for adopting/implementing EE measures exist&lt;br&gt;-Benefits from use of energy efficient measures are not well explained&lt;br&gt;-There is absence of baseline</td>
<td>-Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping.&lt;br&gt;-Dialogue / co-operations are sought&lt;br&gt;-Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of their vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures.&lt;br&gt;-Incentives and research supported evidence are identified as key towards energy efficient measures increased implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>Non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI&lt;br&gt;-National Regulatory Framework exists at some extend and there is a tendency towards more environmental practices.&lt;br&gt;-Many different players involved in the establishment and subsequent implementation of a national policy on energy efficient measures</td>
<td>1.Capacity Building&lt;br&gt;2.Lack of technology experience&lt;br&gt;3.New technologies / uncertainty&lt;br&gt;Others:&lt;br&gt;-No incentives for adopting/implementing EE measures exist&lt;br&gt;-Benefits from use of energy efficient measures are not well explained</td>
<td>-Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping.&lt;br&gt;-Dialogue / co-operations are sought&lt;br&gt;-Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of their vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures.&lt;br&gt;-Incentives and research supported evidence are identified as key towards energy efficient measures increased implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Signatory to MARPOL Annex VI&lt;br&gt;-Many different players involved in the establishment and subsequent implementation of a national policy on energy efficient measures</td>
<td>1.Capacity Building&lt;br&gt;2.Lack of technology experience&lt;br&gt;3.Lack of central leadership&lt;br&gt;4.Government Bureaucracy</td>
<td>-Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping.&lt;br&gt;-Dialogue / co-operations are sought&lt;br&gt;-Ship operators are anticipating support from Flag Administration for regulatory compliance (DCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>MARPOL Annex VI Status</td>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Non-signatory</td>
<td>Absence of National Regulatory Framework, Many different players involved</td>
<td>Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping. --Dialogue / co-operations are sought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in the establishment and subsequent implementation of a national policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>on energy efficient measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Signatory</td>
<td>Many different players involved in the establishment and subsequent</td>
<td>Ship operators recognize the opportunities for fuel costs savings through energy efficient measures for optimized ship performance, through effective use of their data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of a national policy on energy efficient measures</td>
<td>- Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Dialogue / co-operations are sought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of their vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Signatory</td>
<td>Many different players involved in the establishment and subsequent</td>
<td>Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of a national policy on energy efficient measures</td>
<td>- Dialogue / co-operations are sought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Ship operators are anticipating support from Flag Administration for regulatory compliance (DCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of their vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
Note: Throughout the project implementation, efforts were made for addressing the identified barriers and to develop the identified opportunities. Primarily, efforts through dialogue and exchange of experience between participating countries representatives and through capacity building activities, including training.

Summary on questionnaires analysis results (per stakeholder category):

- **Maritime Administrations (and reference on ROs acting on their behalf):** In general, international regulations are followed for the shipping sector. For non-signatory countries to MARPOL Annex VI it appears to be much more difficult to follow the need for energy efficient measures implementation. IMO DCS compliance needs to be further supported through capacity building, as in general they are not ready to facilitate the process or rely on the appointed ROs to do so. This compromises their support towards their clients (ship owners / operators) who await guidance from them. Capacity building and dialogue / co-operations are considered key for overcoming the problems faced.

- **Government Institutions, National Policymakers, Port Authorities / Operators:** In all cases, it has been identified that many different players are involved in the adoption (and subsequent implementation) of a policy on energy efficient measures. This prevents actions towards that end, absence of national policies and forces responsible authorities to try at the extend possible to follow international regulations (MARPOL Annex VI). Capacity building and dialogue / co-operations are considered key for overcoming the problems faced.

- **Ship Owners / Operators views in details:** Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard) is considered a key factor towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping. In general, guidance for IMO DCS compliance is anticipated by the Maritime Administrations. The need for better analyzing and communicating the benefits from energy efficient measures is raised, and incentives / motivation are sought from them, in order to implement such measures on a larger scale. Research is identified as a key contributor towards this end. The uncertainty of experimental new technologies and the high costs for implementation are restricting the use of certain energy efficient measures, however the companies understand the benefits of ship performance optimization, and see a potential to utilize regulatory compliance (IMO DCS) towards this end. Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of their vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures. These mostly include measures that are easy to adopt and implement, cost effective, and make use mostly of common practice measures (hull coating, AFS) and already available systems onboard (ie autopilot, trim / draft optimization, hull coating / hull and propeller cleaning).

- **Maritime Training Centres:** Training and raising of technical / environmental awareness are considered as key factors towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping. They are ready to contribute as facilitators for relevant training activities, however they are recognizing the need for initial guidance and capacity building. Research is identified as a key contributor towards this end.

- **Shipyards / Dry Docks:** The lack of technology expertise on new technologies, the significant cost involved for adoption of energy efficient measures (with uncertainty) by ship operators, the lack of incentives encouraging adoption for such technologies are the primary barriers identified. Infrastructure and personnel could be utilized to support a turn towards greener shipping, however, training and raising of technical/environmental awareness is considered pre-requisite.

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
-**Maritime Fuel Suppliers:** The lack of infrastructure and technology expertise, coupled with significant investments/costs required for creating the necessary infrastructure, are the main barriers identified. These barriers prevent actions towards meeting the industry’s requirements and needs on new fuel types, leading to non-capacity to facilitate the upcoming 2020 regulation (IMO Sulphur Cap).

**Table 8-Summary on Energy Efficient Measures implemented onboard participating ships**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Ship (Type/No.)</th>
<th>GT</th>
<th>DWT</th>
<th>YOB</th>
<th>Last Hull Maint.</th>
<th>EEOI (g/DWT.nm)</th>
<th>Energy Savings Technologies Utilized During the Voyages within the reporting period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.1</td>
<td>24048</td>
<td>38472</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>01/02/2018</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.2</td>
<td>20121</td>
<td>33755</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>9.164</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.3</td>
<td>56172</td>
<td>105778</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>13/06/2016</td>
<td>23.195</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.4</td>
<td>3248</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11/08/2017</td>
<td>6.333</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.5</td>
<td>3248</td>
<td>4999</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>08/11/2017</td>
<td>25.470</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.6</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>3543</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>23/06/2018</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.7</td>
<td>51888</td>
<td>12364</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>08/02/2017</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.8</td>
<td>36666</td>
<td>22026</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>08/06/2018</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.9</td>
<td>8846</td>
<td>14581</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>09/02/2017</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.10</td>
<td>38997</td>
<td>68500</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>26/03/2018</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.11</td>
<td>13435</td>
<td>21081</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>16/05/2018</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.12</td>
<td>27505</td>
<td>46683</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>20/07/2017</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.13</td>
<td>38997</td>
<td>63589</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>07/12/2018</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.14</td>
<td>30109</td>
<td>52215</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>06/07/2014</td>
<td>99.927</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.15</td>
<td>30010</td>
<td>49999</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15/09/2014</td>
<td>18.615</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.16</td>
<td>42096</td>
<td>74543</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>08/12/2018</td>
<td>5.562</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bulk Carrier No.17</td>
<td>42096</td>
<td>74543</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>22/12/2018</td>
<td>6.633</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Container No.1</td>
<td>6406</td>
<td>8715</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>20/12/2018</td>
<td>20.032</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Container No.2</td>
<td>6385</td>
<td>8672</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>02/02/2016</td>
<td>17.985</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Container No.3</td>
<td>9613</td>
<td>12798</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>01/10/2018</td>
<td>26.163</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Container No.4</td>
<td>9963</td>
<td>17031</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>06/07/2014</td>
<td>26.163</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Container No.5</td>
<td>7265</td>
<td>12274</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>16/06/2017</td>
<td>26.163</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Container No.6</td>
<td>40040</td>
<td>76741</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>05/11/2015</td>
<td>5.250</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Container No.7</td>
<td>40040</td>
<td>76741</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>21/01/2018</td>
<td>4.869</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Container No.8</td>
<td>19920</td>
<td>32873</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>01/11/2017</td>
<td>4.869</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Container No.9</td>
<td>37518</td>
<td>42966</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>29/10/2015</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Container No.10</td>
<td>54304</td>
<td>68999</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>04/06/2017</td>
<td>14.342</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Container No.11</td>
<td>54994</td>
<td>43183</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>28/12/2016</td>
<td>13.432</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Container No.12</td>
<td>74071</td>
<td>74453</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10/07/2016</td>
<td>10.415</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Container No.14</td>
<td>54304</td>
<td>68807</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>07/08/2017</td>
<td>8.133</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Container No.15</td>
<td>48220</td>
<td>56152</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>04/06/2017</td>
<td>7.900</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Container No.16</td>
<td>21586</td>
<td>21370</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>21/11/2018</td>
<td>14.673</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Container No.17</td>
<td>52181</td>
<td>60950</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>12/02/2018</td>
<td>11.653</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Container No.18</td>
<td>30820</td>
<td>35848</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>27/11/2016</td>
<td>11.118</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Container No.19</td>
<td>53208</td>
<td>67678</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>07/08/2017</td>
<td>9.296</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Container No.20</td>
<td>21586</td>
<td>21370</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>31/10/2016</td>
<td>14.287</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Container No.21</td>
<td>36389</td>
<td>42465</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>06/09/2016</td>
<td>10.285</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Container No.22</td>
<td>53208</td>
<td>67615</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>14/09/2017</td>
<td>8.237</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Container No.23</td>
<td>54881</td>
<td>68121</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>02/05/2017</td>
<td>9.150</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Container No.24</td>
<td>54304</td>
<td>68372</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>25/09/2017</td>
<td>8.837</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Container No.25</td>
<td>37579</td>
<td>45444</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>08/09/2017</td>
<td>9.167</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Container No.</td>
<td>60350</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>20/06/2017</td>
<td>10.771</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Container No.21</td>
<td>53335</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>22/06/2017</td>
<td>9.989</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Container No.22</td>
<td>67639</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>06/04/2018</td>
<td>9.025</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Container No.23</td>
<td>43377</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>09/05/2017</td>
<td>14.582</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Container No.24</td>
<td>45063</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>08/11/2018</td>
<td>15.309</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Container No.25</td>
<td>44577</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>12/06/2016</td>
<td>13.841</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Container No.26</td>
<td>43769</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>24/08/2015</td>
<td>16.135</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Container No.27</td>
<td>37661</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>29/01/2017</td>
<td>28.152</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Container No.28</td>
<td>51932</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>26/09/2016</td>
<td>13.339</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Container No.29</td>
<td>29378</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>15/01/2016</td>
<td>40.951</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Container No.30</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20/06/2017</td>
<td>10.771</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Container No.31</td>
<td>130000</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20/06/2017</td>
<td>10.771</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
On 2019 mid-year, the literary review of the legal provisions for the two (2) groups of countries signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex IV was carried out and showed the following results:

**Specific Issues of the countries that were part of the study:**

Although the study divided countries into two groups, namely those that have approved Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention and those that are in the process of being approved, the reality of each country makes the list even more complex.

In some cases, progress is more significant than in others, institutional structures are very varied from country to country and there is often a gap between entities called to exercise their competences over the maritime sector of their respective countries.

The project was evaluated by the participating countries as of assistance in their ratification process (for those who have not ratified), however the processes are such that require significant time to complete.

In general, it was a commonly accepted fact that the MTCC Latin America contributed to the development of dialogue and interaction, and that through the capacity building activities and trainings it can play a crucial role in promoting effective regulatory enforcement and raising the level of technical awareness in the region.

**Opportunities and strengths detected in the study:**

- **Dialogue and chance for development:** The MTCC project has become an important forum for discussion and an enabling agent for development among the agencies involved in the study, at the regional level. The work model adopted has enabled the establishment and strengthening of synergies resulting in higher and more effective performance among the intervening countries. This is mainly achieved through the training and capacity building activities, which are raising the level of technical awareness and also support the region’s administrations in (1) enforcing more effectively the regulatory requirements, (2) supporting in turn the ship managers which are anticipating guidance from their ships flag administration and (3) supports the efforts for ratification of MARPOL Annex VI for the non-signatory states. Through the questionnaires, it is evident that dialogue is sought and MTCC Latin America can be the vital link to this end.

- **Detection of training needs:** One of the objectives pursued by the creation of the MTCC Latin America Center is the training of maritime administrations and authorities in the region. This has been achieved through the various national and regional forums, facilitated by the Centre. From this academic exercise has emerged the initiative to launch a postgraduate program with specialization in Energy Efficiency in the Shipping Industry. The impact of this
Obstacles or limitations detected:

- **Plurality of maritime competences**: The attendance of different authorities sharing maritime competences in the different countries, which are part of the study, has been detected. In some cases, civil authorities share responsibilities with armed forces units, with a thin line dividing between their respective jurisdictions that sometimes overlap, making it difficult to implement international standards, as is the specific case of the MARPOL Convention.

- **Lack of effective coordination between governmental entities**: In most of the countries under study, well-defined public policies and strategies are in place for climate change environmental management, however, there is a perceived gap between the authorities called to tackle the problem on the ground and those that must address it from the perspective of the maritime industry, without taking into account that, in the face of such a global problem, acting in a sectorized manner is not an option.

- **A strong state bureaucracy**: The country-specific legal guidelines sometimes make it difficult to adopt international standards aimed at mitigating and addressing the problem of climate change. The lack of uniformity of criteria between the executive and the legislature slows the efforts of maritime administrations that have been working on the ratification of instruments such as Annex VI to the MARPOL Convention. From a technical point of view, everyone is aware of the need to take concrete measures to tackle the problem; however, these measures cannot be implemented without proper legal legitimacy.
6. Pilot Project 1 Outcomes

**Goal Outcome #1:**
A comprehensive questionnaire for data gathering together with its completion guidance to be prepared and at least 40 regional experts/relevant stakeholders to fill it in.

**Activity #1 Outcome:**
Nine (9) different questionnaires were devised, each addressing a specific key stakeholders category and 43 were filled in by a total of 64 participants, from 43 different organizations representing all stakeholders categories.

**Goal Outcome #2:**
At least three country case studies describing and analyzing implementation issues, lessons learned and recommendations to policy-makers completed.

**Activity #2 Outcome:**
Six (6) countries were selected to participate in Pilot Project 1, based on their total fleet and availability of vessels of 400GT and over, and taking into account various aspects (signatory/non-signatory to MARPOL Annex VI, PSC MOU participation), in order to ensure representative results and reliable conclusions. These countries are: Colombia, Ecuador, Honduras, Mexico, Panama, Peru.

**Goal Outcome #3:**
Session to communicate the results of PP1 during the second regional workshop.

**Activity #3 Outcome:**
Training session to communicate the results of this pilot project during the second regional workshop could not be delivered due to the cancellation of the event taking into account the political condition in Chile. However, the dissemination material (brochure, article on project and training material) has been developed, disseminated through the MTCC channels and a relevant event will take place later on.

**Goal Outcome #4:**
Participation of MTCC-Latin America representatives in four dissemination activities to communicate the results, lessons and experiences learned during this pilot project.
Activity #4 Outcome:

Based on the project results, MTCC Latin America prepared relevant dissemination material including a summary of the project, training material on the project and its results (as reflected in Appendix 3 – Training Material of this report), brochures and one article on achievements of Pilot Project 1, which were disseminated through the regional/national workshops and online (website, newsletter, communications via emails etc., as reflected in Appendix 4 – Other Dissemination Material of this report) and even through personal meetings/interactions with participants, to return an output of the results.

Workshops included:

1. MTCC Latin America: First Regional Workshop (13th-15th March 2018, Panama City - Panama)
2. MTCC Latin America: First National Workshop (13th-15th June 2018, Panama City - Panama)
3. MTCC Latin America: Second National Workshop (22nd-24th August 2018, Cartagena - Colombia)
4. MTCC Latin America: Third National Workshop (14th-16th November 2018, Lima - Peru)
5. MTCC Latin America: Fourth National Workshop (13th-15th March 2019, Mexico City – Mexico)

Among the results of the nationals and regional workshop, we can list:

First Regional Workshop (13th-15th March 2018, Panama City - Panama)

From the group dynamic, specific information about the challenges faced by the industry stakeholders regarding the incorporation of energy efficiency, and various opportunities were listed:

Perceived constraints:
- environmental awareness of the main issues
- insufficient public investment on mitigation measures
- price of energy efficiency technology; and
- lack of a regulatory framework on energy efficiency

Opportunities for improvement perceived:
- attitudes towards green or ecological products;
- attitude to support renewable energy; and
Participation of national governmental organizations in regional & international agreements or schemes addressing climate mitigation issues.

First National Workshop (13th-15th June 2018, Panama City - Panama)
Main challenges:
- Costs of energy efficiency technology;
- Availability of alternative fuels; and
- Stability and potential changes in the government.

Opportunities:
- Opportunities for research and development;
- Participation of government agencies in regional agreements; and
- Increase environmental awareness

Second National Workshop (22nd-24th August 2018, Cartagena - Colombia)
Main challenges:
- Costs of energy efficiency technology;
- Environmental awareness; and
- National regulation on energy efficiency.

Main opportunities:
- Opportunities for research and development;
- Climate change mitigation measures; and
- Acceptance of renewable energy

Third National Workshop (14th-16th November 2018, Lima - Peru)
Challenges in the implementation of Annex VI of MARPOL:
- Knowledge about energy efficiency technology
- Research and development
- Availability of energy efficiency technology
- Availability of "green" or ecological products
- Availability of renewable energy
- Law regulating environmental pollution (prevention and control)

Main opportunities or aspects to use as leverage in the implementation of Annex VI of MARPOL:
- Commitment to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals
- Peru is party to Annex VI of MARPOL
- Government participation in regional agreements
- Trade flows and patterns
- Exchange rates
- Acceptance towards "green" or ecological products
- Acceptance towards renewable energy
- Acceptance towards innovation
- Basic infrastructure
- Environmental law

**Fourth National Workshop (13th-15th March 2019, Mexico City – Mexico)**

Challenges in the accession and further implementation of Annex VI of MARPOL:
- Bureaucracy
- Tax incentives policy
- Stability of the government and probable changes
- Price of energy efficiency technology
- Lack of credit sources for energy efficiency initiatives
- Energy costs
- Environmental awareness
- Level of Education
- Acceptance towards renewable energy
- Alternative fuel availability
- Availability of energy efficiency technology
- Basic infrastructure
- Ship waste treatment
- Waste management
- Availability of renewable energy
- National regulation on energy efficiency
- Discrepancy with other national legislations
- Law regulating environmental pollution (prevention and control)

Main opportunities or aspects to use as leverage for accession and implementation of Annex VI of MARPOL:
- Commitment to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals
- National policies on energy efficiency
- Government participation in regional agreements
- Lack of credit sources for energy efficiency initiatives
- Energy costs
- Trade flows and patterns
- Acceptance towards "green" or ecological products
- Environmental awareness
- Acceptance towards innovation
- Knowledge about energy efficiency technology
- Investigation and development
- Availability of energy efficiency technology
- Climate change mitigation measures
- Ship waste treatment
- Availability of "green" or ecological products
- Environmental law
- National regulation on energy efficiency
- Law regulating environmental pollution (prevention and control)
7. Dissemination of Project results

The MTCC Latin America Pilot Project 1 “Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”, aims at utilizing the existing IMO regulation on ship energy efficient technologies and operations as a starting point, for examining the barriers and constraints faced by regional ship owners and operators when implementing or planning to implement such provisions and moving towards low carbon shipping.

Furthermore, this pilot project compares results, lessons learned and recommendations, and incorporated them into the dissemination material and dissemination activities, organized by the MTCC-Latin America in the region for dissemination purposes.

Dissemination material was drafted and dissemination activities of project results were ongoing, throughout the project’s implementation to maximize interest and engagement of stakeholders.

This was achieved through continuous development and updating of the training material (Appendix 3 of this study) and other dissemination materials (Appendix 4 of this study), to reflect the outcomes, experience gained and lessons learned through the project implementation up to the specific point, and their effective dissemination through the project’s dedicated website, social media as well as through interpersonal interactions and capacity building activities.

Full details and evidence on dissemination activities and the final training material, are included in Appendix 4 – Other dissemination material and Appendix 3 – Training Material, respectively.

More specifically, MTCC Latin America organized and participated in more than four (4) dissemination activities to communicate the results, lessons and experiences learned from Pilot Project 1.

Primarily, through the four (4) National Workshops and one (1) Regional Workshop, through which MTCC Latin America had the chance to interact and engage the key stakeholders of the organizing country, thus effectively communicating the results, lessons and experiences learned from Pilot Project 1.

Secondly, through dissemination of results through other means: website, newsletter, communications via emails etc.
Lastly, through personal meetings/interactions with participants, to return an output of the results

Dissemination activities (including dissemination of the final project report) will continue to be carried out after the project’s completion as well, mainly through the MTCC Latin America’s website and social media channels, to maximize the project’s impact.
### Project Successes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity Building</strong></td>
<td>To date the Pilot Project 1 has delivered 5 capacity-building workshops (as described in activity no.4 outcome above) across the participant countries, providing training on IMO's energy efficiency regulations, energy efficient ship operation, port state control and enforcement, as well as how to implement measures to improve efficiency on shipping, SEEMP Part I &amp; Part II and the fuel data collection methodology in accordance with MARPOL Annex VI regulations. Almost 300 participants have been trained through MTCC Latin America facilitated workshops. Reference on the results of the nationals and regional workshop, is made in activity no.4 outcome above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment**

- One of the major barriers to the effective implementation of the ship energy efficiency measures on the fleet of selected countries is the lack of training and practical experience with latest technology on the Government side. In addition, the heavy reliance in some Flag States for inspection of vessels and enforcement of provisions delegated to non-qualified staff.
- In addition to this issue, some of the Flag States are not signatories to all MARPOL Annexes, which also hampers the implementation of the measures.
- Flag States, where most merchant ships are registered such as Panama requires further development on the technical area and need to have more resources to properly carry out vessel assessments, inspections and verification of energy efficiency measures.
- Consideration should be given to transfer knowledge to these states in order to further stimulate the adoption of energy efficiency technologies on their local fleet.
- Also, the bureaucracy of the Government entities is playing a detrimental factor on implementing applicable measures. However, we noticed some business models that are properly combining the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• To publish a report with the measured CO2 emissions from shipping related to each country and make them aware of its impact at country level but also at regional level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To continue with capacity building activities in order to persuade more people about this topic and create a multiplication effect on each country and to help them ratify and implement MARPOL Annex VI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To publish a summary table of adopted regulations and conventions per country and obtain a commitment from each government to implement on their legislation the latest energy efficiency regulations from IMO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Conclusions

- Maritime Administrations need to support ship operators for IMO DCS compliance through capacity building and training and raising of technical / environmental awareness at all levels (including crew onboard).

- Capacity building and dialogue / co-operations are considered key for progressing with national regulatory frameworks and energy efficient measures adoption and implementation in the region.

- There is an apparent need for better analyzing and communicating the benefits from energy efficient measures, and incentives / motivation are sought from the authorities, in order for ship owners/ operators to implement such measures on a larger scale. Research is identified as a key contributor towards this end.

- The uncertainty of experimental new technologies and the high costs for implementation are restricting the use of certain energy efficient measures, however the companies understand the benefits of ship performance optimization, and see a potential to utilize regulatory compliance (IMO DCS) towards this end.

- Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures. These mostly include measures that are easy to adopt and implement, cost effective, and make use mostly of common practice measures (hull coating, AFS) and already available systems onboard (ie autopilot, trim / draft optimization, hull coating / hull and propeller cleaning).

- The Maritime Training Centres consider training and raising of technical / environmental awareness a key factor towards the transition to a more sustainable shipping. They are ready to contribute as facilitators for relevant training activities, however they are recognizing the need for initial guidance and capacity building. Research is identified as a key contributor towards this end.

- Shipyards / Dry Docks consider the lack of technology expertise on new technologies, the significant cost involved for adoption of energy efficient measures (with uncertainty) by ship operators, the lack of incentives encouraging adoption for such technologies as the primary barriers for energy efficient measures adoption. Their infrastructure and personnel could be utilized to support a turn towards greener shipping, however, training and raising of technical/environmental awareness is considered pre-requisite.

- Maritime Fuel Suppliers of the region appear to be in lack of infrastructure and technology expertise, as well as reluctant to proceed with the investments / costs necessary for creating same in order to meet the industry’s requirements / needs on new fuel types,
causing their declared non-capacity to facilitate the upcoming 2020 regulation (IMO Sulphur Cap).

**Opportunities and strengths detected in the study:**

- Opportunity for dialogue and development
- Identification of training needs
- Attitudes towards "green" or ecological products
- Attitudes and support for renewable energy
- Government participation in regional / international agreements

**Obstacles or limitations detected:**

- Plurality of maritime competences
- Lack of effective coordination between government entities
- A strong state bureaucracy

Slow adoption of new technologies is evident. Companies tend to make use of energy efficient measures that require low cost, are easy to implement and maintain, which do not cause major changes in vessel’s schedule for installation. Primarily software, and also hull coating which they implement as common practice.

However, by incentivizing, enabling and raising awareness, this can change.

**Lessons Learned**

Taking into account the energy efficient measures implemented onboard the participating vessels of Pilot Project 1, and with reference to their technical characteristics / cost (ref. made to GloMEEP information on energy efficient measures), it is evident that existing technologies implemented onboard, may offer immediate positive impact in the efforts for GHG emissions reduction.

The answers received in the questionnaires reveal that the ship management companies understand the benefits of ship performance optimization. Regulatory compliance can thus play a key role towards that end and in fact participating ship management companies see a potential to utilize regulatory compliance (IMO DCS) towards this end.
- Enhanced environmental performance is considered the means towards greater competitiveness of vessels, so there is a tendency towards energy efficient measures. These mostly include measures that are easy to adopt and implement, cost effective, and make use mostly of common practice measures (hull coating, AFS) and already available systems onboard (ie autopilot, trim / draft optimization, hull coating / hull and propeller cleaning).

Recommendations

Based on the Conclusions described above, it is highly recommended to exploit the expertise gained by the MTCC Latin America and its activities so far, for supporting the overall efforts towards a more sustainable shipping in the region.

General views and recommendations for MTCC Latin America:

It is highly recommended that MTCC Latin America continues its research activities on energy efficient operation of ships, since the experience, knowhow and data collected enable to expand the scope of research and analysis.

Proposed future activities include:
- Training activities, for raising the environmental and technical awareness in the region
- Research and development activities, including:
  - Ships fuel consumption and GHG emissions for automating the data collection process as far as practicable.
  - Ports / regional monitoring of ship traffic and emissions.

Especially under a capacity of a Research Institution, the MTCC Latin America is possible to:
  i. Support effectively the region’s activities, thus maintaining its dominating position, exploiting its relations and partnerships and raising its status.
  ii. Gain access (through International & EU cooperation) to funding programmes, thus creating revenue for supporting its activities and ensuring its self-sustainability.
Appendix 1 - Literature Review
Reports for Participating Countries: Countries details

See document attached to this report
Appendix 2 - Stakeholders Questionnaires Samples

Questionnaire for Maritime Administrations
Questionnaire for Government Institutions
Questionnaire for National Policies
Questionnaire for Shipyard
Questionnaire for Training Centers
Questionnaire for port authorities and private terminals
Questionnaire for Recognized Organizations (ROs)
Questionnaire for Marine Fuel Suppliers
Questionnaire for Ship Owners
Questionnaire for Maritime Administrations

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(MARITIME ADMINISTRATIONS) Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. About your Organization
   1.1 What is the scope, objectives, functions, and main activities of your organization

2. Policies and Regulations
   2.1 What are the Policies on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Shipping Industry, developed / implemented by your Maritime Administrations? Please provide details
   2.2 What are the Regulations on Ships Energy Efficiency developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details
   2.3 Which are the Monitoring Mechanisms and Technologies adopted / implemented by your Maritime Administration?
   2.4 Which Department is it responsible for these Monitoring Duties?

3. About the Fleet
   3.1 Provide information on:
      - Number of Ships to which EE applies
      - Type of Ships
      - Area of Operation
   3.2 How many ships do these provisions of MARPOL 73/78 Convention – Annex VI on Ships Energy Efficiency apply to?
3.3 What technologies is approving / adopting / implementing your Administration related to Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hull Air Lubrication</th>
<th>Autopilot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste heat recovery</td>
<td>Trim/Draft Optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Electricity</td>
<td>Optimum Ballast Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind power</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather routing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Process

4.1 Does your Organization have Protocols / Procedures / Guides / Instructions on Energy Efficiency on board the vessels flying your flag? Please provide details

4.2 What is the Role of the Recognized Organizations when implementing and monitoring the measures on Ships Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

5. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints

5.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency onboard the vessels flying your flag? Please provide details

5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

6. Opportunities

6.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency onboard the vessels flying your flag?

6.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

7. Recommendations

7.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency onboard the vessels flying your flag?

7.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

8. Lessons learned

8.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency onboard the vessels flying your flag? Please provide details

8.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

Signature or Initials: __________
Questionnaire for Government Institutions

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(GOVERNMENT INSTITUTIONS)

Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. Policies and Regulations
   1.1 What is the Role of your Organization in the development of Policies and Regulations on Energy Efficiency and Climate Change, which are related to the Shipping Industry? Please provide details
   1.2 Which are these Policies and Regulations on Energy Efficiency and what are the objectives, final results, and deadlines for implementation? Please provide details
   1.3 Who are the Main Stakeholders in determining the previously specified policies / regulations?

2. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints
   2.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced / foreseen by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?
   2.2 What is the feedback that your Organization has received from the Maritime Industry when implementing the provisions or measures on Energy Efficiency?
   2.3 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

3. Opportunities
   3.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on Ships Energy Efficiency?
   3.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

4. Recommendations

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
4.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained?

4.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details.

5. **Lessons Learned**

5.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions on this subject? Please provide details.

5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details.

Signature or Initials: __________
Questionnaire for National Policies

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(NATIONAL POLICIES)

Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. Policies and Regulations
   1.1 What is the Role of your Organization in the development of Policies and Regulations on Energy Efficiency and Climate Change, which are related to the Shipping Industry? Please provide details.
   1.2 Which are these Policies and Regulations on Energy Efficiency and the objectives, results, and deadlines for implementation? Please provide details.
   1.3 Who are the Main Stakeholders in determining the previously specified policies / regulations?

2. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints
   2.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced / foreseen by your Organization when implementing these provisions or measures?
   2.2 What is the feedback that your Organization has received from the Maritime Industry when implementing the provisions or measures on Energy Efficiency? Please provide details.
   2.3 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details.

3. Opportunities
   3.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on Ships Energy Efficiency in the Maritime Transport Industry?
   3.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details.

4. Recommendations

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
4.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained?

4.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

5. Lessons learned

5.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions on this subject? Please provide details

5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

Iniciales o Firma: __________
Questionnaire for Shipyards

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(SHIPYARD)
Interview Form

**General Information:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. **About your Organization**
   1.1 What is the scope, objectives, functions, and main activities of your organization?

2. **Policies and Regulations**
   2.1 Do you know any policy on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Maritime Transport Industry, which has been developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details.
   2.2 Do you know the Regulation on Ships Energy Efficiency / implemented by your Administration? Please provide details.

3. **About the Shipyards**
   3.1 Provide information on:
       - Shipyard Structure
       - Type of vessels received at the Shipyard
       - Kind of services provided by the Shipyard
   3.2 Is there any policy in place on Energy Efficiency in your Organization? Please provide details.
   3.3 Are there measures in your Organization on Energy Efficiency to avoid or reduce atmospheric pollution? Please provide details.
       - Scrubber Installations and Sulphur Content Removers
       - Tuning of Main and Auxiliaries Machineries
       - Condition and Optimization of the use of propellers
       - Any device on Energy Efficiency
| Hull Cleaning and Painting | Any consulting on optimal machinery performance and navigational speed |

3.4 What are the preparations and considerations adopted by your Organization to meet the requirements under the provisions on energy efficiency? Please provide details

### 4. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints

4.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

4.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

### 5. Opportunities

5.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?

5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

### 6. Recommendations

6.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?

6.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

### 7. Lessons Learned

7.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

7.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

Signature or Initials: __________
Questionnaire for Training Centers

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(TRAINING CENTERS)
Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. Policies / Regulations
   1.1 Is your Organization aware of the development of Policies (from Government and Industry) related to Energy Efficiency measures applicable to the Shipping Industry? Please provide details
   1.2 Is your Organization aware of the development of national and international Regulations related to Energy Efficiency, which have been promoted by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details
   1.3 How are these policies and regulations reflected in your academic offer and technical courses of your Organization? Please provide details
   1.4 What feedback you have received from the seafarers or other participants who have completed maritime courses/trainings at your facilities?
   1.5 Do you know the Technologies in place for the Gases Emission Control? Please provide details

2. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints
   2.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?
   2.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

3. Opportunities
   3.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?
   3.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

4. Recommendations
4.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained?

4.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

5. Lessons Learned

5.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization in this matter? Please provide details

5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

Signature or Initials: __________
**Questionnaire for port authorities and private terminals**

**Pilot Project 1**

“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”

(PORT AUTHORITIES / PRIVATE TERMINALS)

**Interview Form**

**General Information:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. **About your Organization**
   1.1 What is the mandate, objectives, functions, and main activities of your organization?

2. **Policies and Regulations**
   2.1 Do you know any policy on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Maritime Transport Industry, which has been developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details.
   2.2 Do you know the Regulation on Ships Energy Efficiency developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details.

3. **About the Port**
   3.1 Provide information on:
      - Type of Port
      - Type of vessels calling your Port
      - Traffic of vessels
   3.2 Are there policies in place on Energy Efficiency in your Organization? Please provide details.
   3.3 Are there measures in place on Energy Efficiency to avoid or reduce atmospheric pollution in your Organization? Please provide details.
      - Electric Engines / Motors
      - Power from shore
      - LED Lightings
      - Others
   3.4 What incentives have approved or adopted your Organization in terms of Energy Efficiency? Please provide details.

4. **Process**
### 4.1 Does your Organization have Protocols / Procedures / Guidelines / Instructions on Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

### 4.2 Does your Organization have audit programs on Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

### 5. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints

5.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

5.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

### 6. Opportunities

6.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on Ships Energy Efficiency?

6.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

### 7. Recommendations

7.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency?

7.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

### 8. Lessons learned

8.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

8.2 What have been its implications and why? Please provide details

Signature or Initials: __________
# Questionnaire for Recognized Organizations (ROs)

**Pilot Project 1**

“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”

(RECOGNIZED ORGANIZATIONS)

**Interview Form**

GENERAL INFORMATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

### 1. Policies and Regulations

1.1 Is your Organization aware of any policy on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Maritime Transport Industry, which has been developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details

1.2 Is your Organization aware of any Regulation on Ships Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

1.3 Is your Organization familiar with the International Provisions found in: Chapter IV, Annex VI of MARPOL 73/78 Convention?

### 2. About your Organization

2.1 What is the mandate, objectives, functions, and main activities of your organization? Please detail

2.2 What is the composition of the fleet to which you provide your services? Please provide details

2.3 What is the role of your Organization in the development of measures on Ship Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

2.4 Which are the activities that your Organization would do in attention to the provisions on Ships Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

- Approve the SEEMP
- Issue the Certificate of Compliance
- Others

### 3. Process

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
### 3.1 Does your Organization have Protocols / Procedures / Guides / Instructions on Energy Efficiency Measures on board the vessels? Please provide details

### 4. Barreras/Desafíos/Limitaciones

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced / foreseen by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. Opportunities

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on Ships Energy Efficiency?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Recommendations

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained when implementing the provisions or measures on Ship Energy Efficiency?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. Lessons learned

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions of Ship Energy Efficiency? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature or Initials: __________
Questionnaire for Marine Fuel Suppliers

Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(MARINE FUEL SUPPLIERS)
Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:
As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. Policies and Regulations
1.1 Are you aware of any policy on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Shipping Industry, which has been developed / implemented by your Maritime Administration? Please provide details
1.2 Are you aware of any Regulation on Ships Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

2. About your Company and EE
2.1 What type of Marine Fuels your Company currently offer to the Industry, which are less polluting in relation to the 0.5% of Sulfur Content established from 1 January 2020.
2.2 What is the role of your Organization in relation to Production, Refining Process and Distribution of Marine Fuels in the Country?
2.3 How these Provisions on Gases Emission Control from Ships and Energy efficiency Measures are impacting the Country, and affecting your Role of Production, Refining Process and Distribution of Marine Fuels?

3. Process
3.1 Have these New Provisions impacted the Technologies used during the different process of Production, Refining and Distribution of Marine Fuels in your Organization? Please provide details
3.2 What is your prediction on the availability of Marine Fuels that comply with the new Provisions in your Country?
3.3 What is the impact foresee for the Industry?

4. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints
## 4.1 What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced / foreseen by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

## 4.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

## 5. **Opportunities**

### 5.1 What are the opportunities that your Organization has considered when implementing the new measures?

### 5.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

## 6. **Recommendations**

### 6.1 What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained?

### 6.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

## 7. **Lessons learned**

### 7.1 What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions on this matter? Please provide details

### 7.2 What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

Signature or Initials: __________
Pilot Project 1
“Uptake of Ship Energy Efficient Technologies and Operations”
(SHIP OWNERS / OPERATORS)
Interview Form

General Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear Interviewee:

As part of the Information Gathering Process for this Pilot Project 1, we welcome your opinion on the following topics:

1. Policies and Regulations
   1.1 Are you aware of any policy on Energy Efficiency, applicable to the Shipping Industry, which has been developed / implemented by your Ship’s Flag Maritime Administration? Please provide details
   1.2 Are you aware of any Regulation on Ships Energy Efficiency? Please provide details
   1.3 Is there any regulation on Energy Efficiency in your Company applied to your vessels? Please provide details

2. Energy Efficiency in the Company
   2.1 Provide information on:
       - Number of Ships
       - Type of Ships
       - Area of Operation
       - Size of the Company (1-10, 10-50, 50-100, over 100)
       - Which department in your Company deals with technical aspects of the vessels (EE Monitoring)
   2.2 How many ships do these provisions of MARPOL 73/78 Convention – Annex VI on Ships Energy Efficiency apply to?
   2.3 Do the vessels under your management have implemented technologies on Energy Efficiency? Please provide details
       - Hull Air Lubrication
       - Autopilot
       - Waste heat recovery
       - Trim/Draft Optimization
       - Solar Electricity
       - Optimum Ballast Condition

This document was produced for approval by IMO. It was prepared by MTCC-LATIN AMERICA for the Capacity building for Climate Mitigation in the Maritime Shipping Industry Project funded by the European Union and implemented by IMO.
### 3. Process

**3.1** Does your Organization have Protocols / Procedures / Guides / Instructions on Energy Efficiency on board the vessels under your management? Please provide details

### 4. Barriers / Challenges / Constraints

**4.1** What are the barriers / challenges / constraints faced / foreseen by your Organization when implementing the provisions or measures on energy efficiency? Please provide details

**4.2** What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

### 5. Opportunities

**5.1** What are the opportunities that your Organization has observed when implementing the provisions or measures on Ships Energy Efficiency?

**5.2** What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

### 6. Recommendations

**6.1** What are the recommendations that your Organization can provide based on the experience gained?

**6.2** What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

### 7. Lessons learned

**7.1** What are the lessons learned by your Organization when implementing the provisions of Ship Energy Efficiency? Please provide details

**7.2** What have been its impacts and why? Please provide details

---

Signature or Initials: __________
Appendix 3 - Training Material

See document attached to this report
Appendix 4 - Other dissemination Material

See document attached to this report
Appendix 5-Other information

Refer to electronic files attached to this report (USB)